Research Article

Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy Followed by Adjuvant Chemotherapy with Cisplatin for Advanced Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma.

Hoda M. Abdel-Azeam, Wafaa Ashour and Amani Saber

Minia University Hospital and Oncology Center

Abstract

Background: Concurrent chemoradiotherapy (CRT) is the most effective approach in controlling advanced head and neck cancer. Cisplatin is the most extensively cytotoxic agent investigated concurrently with radiation therapy as well as in neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting, so we started this study to confirm the efficacy of concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by three cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy (cisplatin) in treating patients with locoregional advanced head and neck cancer. Patients and Methods: Between April Y. V. and November Y. V., V. patients with advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma were treated at Minia Oncology Center with radical radiotherapy $(\vee Gy/\degree \circ F/\lor weeks)$ with three cycles of concurrently Cisplatin $(\vee gy/\degree \circ F/\lor weeks)$ administered on day \vee , $\gamma\gamma$, $\xi\gamma$ of radiotherapy followed by three cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy (Cisplatin) with the same dose every τ weeks. All patients were assessed for response immediately after the concomitant phase and at γ months after completion of treatment. Follow up was maintained for a range from $\gamma - \epsilon \gamma$ months. Immediate locoregional control, treatment compliance, pattern of failure, toxicity profile, disease free and overall survival rates were estimated. Results: The median age of our patients was oo.o years (range YY-TT years). Twenty one patients were males, with male to female ratio of (1,7). The majority of our patients (1,7) had a primary tumor of the larynx. About (1,7) had stage III disease. The planned dose of radiotherapy was completed in $\wedge \cdot /$ of patients, and $\vee \cdot /$ completed the three cycle of adjuvant chemotherapy. Mucositis and neutropenia were the commonly recorded side effects. ORR (CR+PR) was 1.1%, % patients went into CR after the first phase of treatment. Another 1) patients were turned into CR after the adjuvant phase. During the period of follow up, 1/A patients relapsed (locoregional only no systemic relapse). The estimated Y year DFS was WY.Y' and the estimated ^Y years OAS was ^{A9, 1}^{//}. Conclusion: Concurrent chemoradiotherapy has produced improved results in patients with advanced head and neck cancers concerning locoregional control, disease free survival and overall survival more than radiotherapy alone, however more was achieved, especially concerning reduction of distant failure by addition of adjuvant chemotherapy.

Key Words: Head and Neck cancer, Cisplatin, Concurrent chemoradiotherapy

Introduction

Approximately \vdots ,... patients are newly diagnosed annually with squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (excluding skin cancer) in the United States. Approximately one third of these patients are women. Nearly \neg . ? of the head and neck cancer patients present with locally advanced, but non metastatic disease. Locoregional failure constitutes the predominant recurrence pattern, and most fatalities result from uncontrolled local and/or regional disease.⁽¹⁾ Radiotherapy alone has long been the standard nonsurgical therapy for locally advanced disease. Even with the most effective RT regimens result in local control rates of $\circ \cdot /. - \vee \cdot /.$ and disease-free survivals (DFSs) of $" \cdot /. - \varepsilon \cdot /.$ This circumstance has stimulated the investigation of treatments combining RT and chemotherapy. Most randomized clinical trials show the superiority of combined radiotherapy and chemotherapy to RT alone for the treatment of locally advanced HNC. Randomized

comparisons of concurrent chemoradiation (CRT) versus induction chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy alone are few but confirm that the former strategy is superior. Concurrent therapy clearly constituted the most effective means of larynx preservation and provided the best disease control.^(Y)

Given that concomitant CRT increases locoergional control, and thereby avoids surgical resection of important anatomical structures, it was postulated that CRT may offer superior organ preservation in comparison to surgery, radiation, or sequential chemotherapy and radiation. Initially, two trials using sequential chemotherapy and radiation in comparison to surgery with adjuvant radiation reported improved organ preservation with no difference in survival.^(T)

The survival benefit of adding neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy to concurrent chemoradiotherapy for locoregional advanced head and neck cancer is currently investigated. The US intergroup trial was the first randomized study to show significant survival benefit by adding cisplatin (CDDP) concurrent with RT followed by adjuvant CDDP and fluorouracil (FU). A $\Upsilon \circ \%$ improvement in the Υ years overall survival (OS) rate compared to RT alone was demonstrated in the initial report⁽ⁱ⁾

Moreover an updated analysis of survival figures revealed a continued improvement of the ° years disease free, overall survival and improvement in the distant metastasis control as well.^(°)

Patients and Methods

Between April $\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \cdot$ and November $\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \uparrow$, $\neg \cdot$ patients with advanced head and neck squamous cell carcinoma were treated at Minia Oncology Center with radical radiotherapy ($\lor \cdot Gy/ \neg \circ F/ \lor$ weeks) with three cycles of concurrently Cisplatin ($\uparrow \cdot \cdot mg/m^{\uparrow}$) administered on day \uparrow , $\uparrow \uparrow$, $\notin \neg$ of radiotherapy followed by three cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy (cisplatin) with the same dose every \neg weeks. The protocol was approved by the ethical committee and informed consents had been obtained from all patients.

Patients age >1A years and $<^{\vee \circ}$ years with Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of \cdot or \uparrow , and who had measurable histologicaly confirmed stage III or IV but $M \cdot$, squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx nasopharynx, oropharynx, hypopharynx, tonsil or oral cavity and were previously untreated were eligible for this trial. Patients were required to have adequate blood count, renal and hepatic functions. The disease was staged according to the $\Upsilon \cdot \Upsilon \cdot$ classification of the American Joint Committee on cancer.

Exclusion criteria included: sever medical illness, other active malignancy in the last ° years, previous chemotherapy or radiotherapy, distant metastasis and surgery other than biopsy or tracheostomy.

All patients underwent staging work up including physical examination, complete blood work, chest x-ray, abdominal ultrasound, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging of head and neck. Additional tests include fiberoptic triple endoscopy, bone scan, and dental evaluation.

All patients were planned to receive a full course of radiotherapy to a dose of $\vee \cdot$ Gy ($^{.}$ A- $^{.}$ Gy/fraction) using [¬]-MV linear accelerator. All fields were treated once daily, o times a week. Radiotherapy started with two lateral opposing facial cervical fields to cover the primary tumor and neck lymph nodes to a dose of ξ . Gy. After \cdot Gy, to avoid further irradiation to the spinal cord, the posterior border of the lateral fields was displaced anteriorly. Additional ^Y · Gy was delivered to the reduced upper lateral fields followed by another `• Gy boost to primary lesion, and the posterior neck nodes was supplemented with \. Gy (electron beam therapy) if it was negative and Yo-T. Gy if it has positive nodes. The lower neck and suprcalvicular fossae were treated with an anterior field to ° · Gv.

Patients were scheduled to receive three cycles of concurrent cisplatin ($1 \cdot \cdot mg/m$ i.v. infusion) administered on day $1, \ 77, \ 5^{\circ}$ of radiotherapy. Subsequently a further $\ 0$ cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy comprising cisplatin ($1 \cdot \cdot mg/m$ as $\ 1$ hours i.v. infusion) repeated every $\ 0$ weeks. Treatment modifications were carried out according to the following scheme: CDDP was omitted for a cycle during the concurrent RT phase if the minimum hematologic criteria were not met (absolute neutrophils count > $1, \cdot \cdot ./\mu L$ and platelet count > $1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \mu L$). During the adjuvant phase, deferment of the chemotherapy by up to a maximum of 1 weeks was allowed if the same hematologic criteria were not met. Chemotherapy was also discontinued in the event of patient refusal, physician's decision for fear of RT compromise and unacceptable toxicities such as severe sepsis or renal impairment. Every effort was taken not to delay or break the course of RT.

All patients were assessed for response immediately after the concomitant phase and at ^Y months after completion of treatment. This assessment includes physical examination, CT scan or MRI of the primary and neck nodes. Endoscopy and biopsy was performed from any suspicious lesions.

Patients were followed clinically on monthly base for the first year and every three months from the second year. Radiological evaluation by CT Scan or MRI of the primary and neck nodes, as well as chest X-ray were performed annually or whenever there is suspicious of tumor recurrence, while abdominal ultrasound and bone scan were performed whenever there was a suspicious of distant metastasis. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS '° software. Disease free survival and overall survival estimates were calculated according to Kaplan- Meier methods. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the interval from day one of treatment to either time of death or last visit. Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from day one of treatment to either death or diagnosis of recurrence or last visit. Objective response rate (ORR) could be defined as the proportion of patients whose best response was either partial or complete response (PR+CR).

Results

Between April $\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \cdot$ and November $\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \uparrow$ a total of $\neg \cdot$ patients were recruited onto study. Data collection was done Nov $\uparrow \cdot \uparrow \neg$ with a follow up ranged from $\uparrow \cdot$ to $\not \cdot \uparrow \neg$ months with median follow up time of $\uparrow \neg$ months for surviving patients. Patient characteristics, primary site distribution, and primary and nodal staging are shown in table \uparrow .

Patient and tumor characteristics:

The median age of our patients was $\circ \circ \circ \circ$ years (range $\uparrow \uparrow \neg \uparrow \uparrow$ years). Twenty one patients were males, with male to female ratio of $\uparrow . \uparrow : \uparrow$. The majority of our patients ($\sharp \uparrow \%$) had a primary tumor of the larynx. About ($\uparrow \lor \%$) had stage III disease. (Table \uparrow).

Characteristics	No of Patients	%
Sex		
Male	Y) V.	
Female	۹ ۳۰	
Age, years		
Median	°°.° years	
Range	YY_77 years	
Primary site of the tumor		
Nasopharynx	٦	۲.
Hypopharynx	٣	۱.
Larynx	١ ٤	٤٦٫٧
Oropharynx	٥	١٦.٧
Oral cavity	٢	٦.٧
Stage		
Stage III	19	٦٣.٣
Stage IV	11	٣٦ ٧

Table \ : patient's and tumor characteristics

Treatment Compliance

Fifty percent of our patients fully complied with the treatment protocol. Fifteen out of $\gamma \cdot$ patients were deviated during the concomitant CRT phase of treatment. Protocol deviation for the

Table ^Y : Compliance to radiotherapy

Radiotherapy	No.	%
Completed uninterrupted to full dose	10	٥.
Not completed	۲	٦.٧
Completed with gap to full dose	٩	۳.
Completed with gap and under dose	٤	15.5

Nine patients had a reduced number of chemotherapy cycles during the adjuvant phase of the trial, two of them completed the concomitant CRT phase of treatment. This reduction in the number of adjuvant cycles was secondary to treatment toxicity (^ patients) or patient refusal (one patient).

Treatment toxicity

For hematologic toxicity, the incidence of severe neutropenia was higher during the adjuvant phase of treatment than during CRT phase ($1 \cdot 1 \vee 12$, respectively), anemia also has the same incidence. On the other hand for none hematological toxicity, the incidence of oropharyngeal mucositis was significantly higher in the CRT phase of treatment ($" \cdot \%$ in CRT v "1 in adjuvant phase).

Pattern of failure:

not complete RT. (table ^۲).

Regarding pattern of failure after treatment, local failure (site of tumor) was happened in 11((7, 1), LN failure in ϵ ((7, 7), and LN with local failure in (1, 2), No failure occurred in (7, 1)((7, 1)) and no distant metastasis is reported.

RT component included ξ patients who received

a total RT dose of no more than ¹ Gy and ⁹

patients who had a gap during the radiotherapy

treatment of more than one week and ⁷ patients

Patient outcomes

All patients were evaluated for efficacy. ORR (CR+PR) was $^{1}.^{1}.^{1}$; where all patients were assessed for response, r patients went into complete remission (CR) immediately after the concomitant CRT phase of treatment. ^{1}r patients achieved partial response (PR), however 1 of them were turned into CR after the adjuvant phase of treatment, r patients show stationary disease and two progressed in the primary site and one of them develop tracheooesphageal fistula. (Table $^{r}, ^{\epsilon}$).

Table ": Patient Outcomes

	Criteria	No.	%
Immediate	CR after CRT	٣	1.
response	CR after adj. T	١٤	٤٦.٧

Table 4: objective tumor response rate after the * phases of treatment

Response	No. (n=٣٠)	Percent
Objective response rate	22	٨٦.٧
Complete response	1 £	٤٦٧
Partial response	11	٤.
Stable disease	۲	٦.٧
Progressive disease	۲	٦.٧

Progression free survival and overall survival:

With a median follow up time of 77 months for surviving patients range: $(1 \cdot - t)$ months). The median disease free survival (DFS) was 10° months (90% CI: 17.t-19.0), and the estimated 7° year DFS was 77%. The median overall survival (OAS) was 71° months (90% CI: 19- $<math>7\%.0^{\circ}$ and the estimated 7° years OAS was 10° . The median overall survival curves shown in figure 1& 7. Eighteen patients out of the twenty six patients who achieved objective response had recurred or progressed at a median of $11.\circ$ months (range: $\circ-11$ months), and \circ patients out of 11 who achieve CR recurred at a median of 11 months (range: 11.17 months). One of two patients with SD progressed at 1.months and he underwent salvage surgery and he was still alive and disease free at last follow up, while the other patient had not progressed at last follow up.

Table •: Two year survival

	Criteria	No. (n=٣ ·)	Percent
Two year survival	$(n=\forall \forall)$ Disease free	٩	۳۳ ۳٪
	Overall ⁷ year survival	۲۷	٨٩ ٦%



Figure **\:** Disease free survival



Figure ^{*}: Overall survival

Discussion

Although the collective data are strong in establishing the superiority of the combination of radiation with concurrent chemotherapy relative to standard radiation fractionation alone in the management of locally advanced head and neck cancer, there is variability of clinical trials in patient selection and regimens, leading to continuing debate as to which combined regimen should be considered standard. Furthermore, many questions remain to be answered, including whether the cisplatin dose can be altered to reduce acute and late toxicities without diminishing efficacy and whether neoadjuvant or adjuvant chemotherapy further improves the outcome of concurrent radiation and chemotherapy. $(^{(Y)})$

The randomized trial coordinated by the Swiss group for clinical cancer research. (^) It was designed to address one of these questions, the total cisplatin dose was reduced (i.e. from the usual three to two cycles) and this approach seems to reduce the severity of systemic toxicity and mucositis without diminishing the impact on locoregional control and potentially on occult metastasis. This finding is consistent with the data of a phase III trial in nasopharyngeal carcinoma from the National Cancer Center of Singapore. The data from these two trials along with the observation form other studies showed that a substantial fraction of patients could not receive the third planned cisplatin dose of $\gamma \cdot mg /m'$, suggesting that a cumulative cisplatin dose of approximately $\gamma \cdot mg/m'$, independent of the schedule, might be sufficient to yield a beneficial anti tumor effect.⁽¹⁾

Although much has been achieved in the way of improving DFS and OS by administering chemotherapy concurrently with RT, more is to be desired, especially concerning reduction of distant failure if adjuvant chemotherapy will be added. Different scheduling of adjuvant chemotherapy seems to have an additive effect.^(i, 1, e, T). These chemoradiotherapy trials included trials of induction chemotherapy followed by radiotherapy^{(T, 1)-1T, TT}, concomitant chemoradiotherapy^{(T, 1)-1T, TT}, and adjuvant chemotherapy following definitive radiotherapy and/or surgery^(T, 1, T, TA). A recent meta-analysis by Pignon and colleagues of chemoradiotherapy vs radiotherapy alone, first presented in $T \cdots$ and updated in $T \cdots q$, included an additional $T \le 1$

trials that were comparisons of induction, concurrent, or adjuvant chemoradiotherapy. The meta-analysis found that there was a benefit of locoregional control for concurrent chemoradiotherapy compared with induction chemo-therapy followed by radiotherapy.^($\gamma, \nu \gamma$)

In the present study, the median age of our patients was °°.° years (range $\Upsilon - \Im \Im$ years) with male to female ratio of Υ . Υ : Υ , compared to a study by Omar et al., $({}^{(\tau)})$ which showed that median age was $\xi \xi$ years (range $\Lambda - \Im \Upsilon$ years) with male to female ratio of Υ . Υ : Λ and approximately the same finding in other western studies Al-Sarraf et al., $({}^{(\tau)})$ and Wee et al., $({}^{(\tau)})$, which showed that median age was $\circ \Im$ years (range $\Upsilon \xi - \Im \Lambda$ years). Compared to a study by Semrau et al., $({}^{(\tau)})$, which showed that median age was $\circ \Im$ years (range $\Upsilon \xi - \Im \Lambda$ years).

We reported in the current study that larynx was the most common primary tumor site in $1 \pm$ patients ($\xi \forall \lambda$) followed by nasopharynx which occurred in \neg patients ($\gamma \cdot \lambda$), compared to Omar et al.,^{($\gamma \cdot \rangle$} study which showed that nasopharynx was the most common occurred in $\gamma \gamma$ patients ($\neg \xi \lambda$) followed by larynx in \circ patients ($\neg \xi \lambda$), compared to a study conducted by Agarwala and co-worker^{($\gamma \pm \lambda$}, which showed that oropharynx was the first occurred in $\gamma \gamma \lambda$.

The present study showed that 1° patients $(1^{\circ})'$ had stage III and 1° patients had stage IV $(^{\circ})'$, compared to S. Abdelwahab et al., $^{(1)}$ study which showed that 1° patients $(^{\circ})'$ had stage III and $^{\circ}$ patients $(^{\circ})'$ had stage IV. Also a study done by Chougule and his colleagues $^{(1^{\circ})}$ show that $(^{\circ})'$ had stage III and $^{\circ}$ patients $(^{\circ})'$ had stage III and $^{\circ}$ patients $(^{\circ})'$ had stage III and $^{\circ}$ patients $(^{\circ})'$ had stage IV.

Fifty percent of our patient had treatment deviation during the concurrent CRT component. In Joseph et al., this figure was $\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{N}$, also $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{N}$ of our patient completed the planned radiation dose comparing to that reported by Adelstin et al.,^(TV), who reported $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{t}\mathfrak{N}$, in Omar et al.,^(TV) study It was $\mathfrak{I}\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{N}$ deviation and $\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{N}\mathfrak{N}$ complete the planned radiation dose.

 $\vee \cdot$? of our patients completed the \neg cycles of adjuvant chemotherapy as planned versus $\circ \vee$? in Joesph et al., (°)

Regarding the toxicity profile in the current study, G-III –IV mucositis was the commonest side effect during the concurrent CRT phase $({}^{\tau} \cdot \overset{?}{,})$ this incidence was similar to Agarwala et al., $({}^{(\tau)})$ but lower than that reported by Hadir et al., $({}^{(\tau)})$ (${}^{\epsilon} \wedge \overset{?}{,}$), and other western studies where this incidence varied from ${}^{\epsilon} \cdot \overset{?}{,}$ to ${}^{\epsilon} \wedge \overset{?}{,}$ in Adelstein $({}^{(\tau)})$ and Joseph. $({}^{\circ})$ While Chougule et al., $({}^{(\tau)})$ reported a significant higher toxicities in ${}^{\varsigma} \cdot \overset{?}{,}$ of the enrolled patients.

Our present study showed that ORR was $\wedge 7.4\%$ where 1^{ξ} patients $(\xi^{\gamma}, \xi^{\prime})$ had a CR, 1^{γ} patients $(\xi, \dot{\chi})$ had a PR, $\dot{\chi}$ patients $(\dot{\chi}, \dot{\chi})$ had SD, γ patients (γ, γ') had PD. These finding are similar to S. Abdelwahab et al., $(^{(1)})$ who reported that ORR was $\Lambda \forall \lambda, \forall \Lambda$ out of $\xi \exists$ patients $(\forall \lambda')$ enrolled in their study had attained CR, $\gamma\gamma(\gamma\gamma')$ patients had PR,^{γ} patients (ξ ^{\prime}) had SD, ξ patients (⁹[/]) had PD. But our finding is better than that reported by Agarwala et al., $({}^{(i)})$ who reported that ORR was $\wedge \cdot / \cdot$, $\uparrow \uparrow$ out of $\circ \cdot (\circ \uparrow / \cdot)$ patients enrolled in this study had attained CR, $1 \leq (7 \text{ Å})$ patients had PR, 7(7 Å) patients had SD and $V(1 \in \mathbb{Z})$ patients had PD. While Chougule and his co-worker^{$(\gamma \circ)$} had reported more encouraging results than our finding; where they showed that ORR was 11%, 70% of the 5m patients had a CR, Y7% had PR and £% had PD. In Omar et al., $({}^{(\cdot)}) = {}^{\xi} {}^{\xi}$, enter in CR, and in Joseph et al ^(°) who reported CR of \wedge ⁷/, at the end of both phases of treatment, however this incidence is lower than that achieved by Hadir et al.,⁽¹¹⁾ who achieved $1 \cdot \cdot \cdot$ CR this may be explained by the nature of the disease itself, as this study was concerning of nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients only, however our study include nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients and other sites of the head & neck cancers.

Regarding the survival data in the current study, with a median follow up time of $\uparrow\uparrow$ months for surviving patients range: $(\uparrow \cdot \cdot t)$ months).we reported that the median disease free survival (DFS) was $\uparrow\circ$ months ($\uparrow\circ$? CI: $\uparrow\uparrow \cdot t \cdot \uparrow\lor \cdot \circ$). And the estimated \uparrow year DFS was $\uparrow\uparrow \cdot \uparrow\cdot \circ$). And the estimated \uparrow year DFS was $\uparrow\uparrow \cdot \uparrow\cdot \circ$. The median overall survival (OAS) was $\uparrow\uparrow$ months ($\uparrow\circ$? CI: $\uparrow\uparrow \cdot \uparrow\uparrow \cdot \circ$) and the estimated \uparrow years OAS was $\land\uparrow \cdot \uparrow$?, While S. Abdelwahab et al.,($\uparrow\uparrow$) reported that with a median follow up time of $\uparrow\uparrow$ months range: ($\circ \cdot \uparrow \lor$ months). The median DFS was $\uparrow t . \circ$ months ($\uparrow\circ?$, CI: $\uparrow . \circ \cdot \uparrow \lor$ months). And

the estimated γ year DFS was $\gamma\gamma$. The median overall survival (OAS) was Y' months (% CI: 1^{-19}) and the estimated 1° years OAS was 1° . In Agarwala et al., (15) study we see that after a median follow up time of ¹⁹ months. The median DFS was 17 months (90% CI: $\sqrt{-75}$) and the r year DFS was r?. The median overall survival (OAS) was ^m months (90% CI: 10-55) and the estimated r years OAS was $\frac{50\%}{2}$. Semrau et al.,^($\gamma\gamma$) reported that the γ years DFS ٤١% and that ۲ year OS was ٤٦.٣%, while Omar et al.,^(γ) reported that estimated γ year DFS and OS were $\sqrt{\Lambda}$ and $\sqrt{\Lambda}$ respectively. Joseph et al.,^(\circ) study repoted that the γ years DFS was $\sqrt{2}$ and that $\sqrt{2}$ year OS was $\sqrt{2}$. Our finding is lower than that reported in Hadir et al.,^(¹) study used concomitant chemoradiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy for treatment of nasopharangeal tumors only who reported DFS (\land) and OS ?. We compare our results with that reported by Brockstein et al.,^(YA) who used concurrent CRT alone which was (7%) for the γ years DFS and $\gamma\gamma$ for γ years OS that is lower than OS we reported.

Conclusion

Concurrent chemoradiotherapy has produced improved results in patients with advanced head and neck cancers concerning locoregional control, disease free survival and overall survival more than radiotherapy alone, however more was achieved, especially concerning reduction of distant failure by addition of adjuvant chemotherapy. Cisplatin alone is lower than the combination with taxane in the response rate and disease free survival but the same in overall survival, however we could not ignore the occurrence of some side effects such as mucosits and neutropenia that lead to treatment gap during radiotherapy and delay of some chemotherapy cycles

References

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, et al., Cancer statistics. CA J. Clin. Y. Y. T. YVY-T.
- Y. Pignon JP, le Maitre A, Maillard E and Bourhis J. Meta-analysis of chemotherapy in head and neck cancer (MACH-NC): an update on ⁹^r randomised trials and ¹V,^r ^ε¹ patients. Radiother Oncol. ^Y··⁹; ⁹Y:^ε-¹^ε.
- *. Lefebvre JL, Rolland F, Tesselaar M, et al., Phase * randomized trial on larynx presservation comparing sequential vs alternating

chemotherapy and radiotherapy. J Natl Cancer Inst. $\gamma \cdot \gamma$; $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \rightarrow$

- •. Joseph W., Eng T., and Bee T. Randomized trial of radiotherapy versus concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with American Joint Committee on Cancer / International Union against Cancer stage III and IV nasopharyngeal cancer of the endemic variety. J. Clin. Oncol. Y...o; YY: TVY.
- Kohno N. The role of chemotherapy for advanced oro and hypopharyngeal cancer. Auris nasus larynx; Υ···έ; Υ١:١١٣.
- Y. Kian A. Concurrent radiochemotherapy for locally advanced Head and Neck Carcinoma: Are we addressing Burning Subjects? J. Clin. Oncol. Y •• £; YY: £70Y.
- A. Huguenin P, Beer KT, Allal A, et al., Concomitant cisplatin significantly improves locoregional control in advanced head and neck cancers treated with hyperfractionated radiotherapy. J. Clin. Oncol. Y •• £; YY: £770-£7YY.
- 9. Wee J., Tan H., Tai C., et al., Phase III randomized trial of radiotherapy versus concurrent chemoradiotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy in patients with AJCC/UICC: (1997) stage " and [£] naspharyngeal cancer of the endemic variety. Proc. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. ^Y •• [£]; Y^{*}: [£]AY.
- 11. Brizel DM and Vokes EE. Induction chemotherapy: to use or not to use? That is the question. Semin. Radiat. Oncol. Y...9; 19:11-17.
- YY. Wirth LJ and Posner MR. Recent advances in combined modality therapy for locally advanced head and neck cancer. Curr Cancer Drug Targets. Y. Y: Y: TYE-TA..

- Yⁿ. Pignon JP, Bourhis J, Domenge C and Designé L. Chemotherapy added to locoregional treatment for head and neck squamous-cell carcinoma: three metaanalyses of updated individual data. Lancet. Y···; Y^{oo}:9£9-900.
- ۱٤. Argiris A. Update on chemoradiotherapy for head and neck cancer. Curr Opin Oncol. ۲۰۰۲; ۱٤:۳۲۳-۳۲۹.
- Yo. Bourhis J, Amand C and Pignon J-P. Update of MACH-NC (Meta-Analysis of Chemotherapy in Head & Neck Cancer) database focused on concomitant chemoradiotherapy. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol Y... 5; YY: V 58.
- ۱۷. Overgaard J. Chemoradiotherapy of head and neck cancer: can the bumble bee fly? Radiother Oncol. ۲۰۰۹; ۹۲:۱-۳.
- 1A. Munro AJ. An overview of randomised controlled trials of adjuvant chemotherapy in head and neck cancer. Br J Cancer. 1990; V1:AT-91.
- 19. Traynor AM, Richards GM, Hartig GK, et al., Comprehensive IMRT plus weekly cisplatin for advanced and neck cancer: the university of Wisconsin experience, Head Neck. Y. Y. Y: 099-7.7.
- Y. Omar Hussien, Wafaa Ashour, Azza Nasr, et al., cocurrent chemotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy with Cisplatin and docetaxel for advanced head and neck cancer. Kasr El-Aini j. Clin. Oncol. Nucl. Med. Y..., Y: (1-1).
- Y1. S. Abdelwahab, R. Ghali, M. Elbassiouny, A. Elsayed, A. Mahmoud and H. Aly :Paclitaxel and Carboplatin concurrent with three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy ("D-CRT) for treatment of locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck (LA-SCCHN), Kasr El-Aini J. Clin. Oncol. Nucl. Med. Y.YY; 9:YV-YY.
- YY. Semrau R, Temming S, Preuss SF, Klumann JP, Guntinas-Lichius O and Muller RP. Definitive radiochemotherapy of advanced head and neck cancer with Carboplatin and paclitaxel: A phase II study. Strahlenther.Onkol. Y. YY; YAV(Y.): 150-10.
- ۲۳. Airolodi M, Gabriele P, Gabriele AM, Garzaro M, Raimondo L, Pedani F, et al.,

Induction chemotherapy with Carboplatin and taxol followed by radiotherapy and concurrent weekly Carboplatin+taxol in locally advanced nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. (\cdot, \cdot) ; (\cdot, \cdot) ; (\cdot, \cdot) ;

- Yź. Agarwala SS, Cano E, Heron DE, Johnson J, Myers E, Sandulache V, et al., Long-term outcomes with concurrent Carbo-platin, paclitaxel and radiation therapy for locally advanced, inoperable head and neck cancer. Ann. Oncol. Y··Y; JA(Y): JYYź-9.
- Yo. Chougule PB, Akhtar MS, Rathore R, Koness J, McRae R, Nigri P, et al., Concurrent chemoradiotherapy with weekly paclitaxel and Carboplatin for locally advanced head and neck cancer: Long-term follow-up of a Brown University Oncology Group phase II study (HN-o^T). Head Neck Y...A; Y.(^T):YA9-97.
- Y1. Hadir M, Wafaa A, Hussain O. et al., Concomitant chemoradiotherapy followed by adjuvant chemotherapy in locally advanced nasopharangeal carcinoma. The Medical Journal of Cairo University; Y...Y; Y1: Y.
- ^{YV}. Adelstein DJ, Li Y, Adams GL, et al., An intergroup phase III comparison of standard radiation therapy and two schedules of concurrent chemoradiotherapy in patients with unresectable squamous cell head and neck cancer. J Clin Oncol. $\Upsilon \cdot \cdot \Upsilon$; $\Upsilon 1: 9\Upsilon - 9\Lambda$.